ISSUE NO. 376

ITORIAL

Hong Kong tolerates no challenge to the rule of law

At last the police began to take action yesterday. Seven persons including Leung Kwok-hung were arrested on charges of disorderly conduct and causing criminal damage. Pre-trial review of the cases was set for October 14. It is not an exaggeration to say that the police action is to the great satisfaction of people.

For the vast majority of Hong Kong citizens, bringing to justice the mobbers who deliberately caused disorder in a public place and violently besieged a public forum is no doubt a right move to safeguard public order and to fulfill the promises of the rule of law. Moreover, it is also a necessary move to respond to citizens' appeals and to safeguard the core values of Hong Kong society.

The violent scenes in a forum at Science Museum 20 days ago are still fresh in the memories of many people. To achieve their political purpose, a mob of opposition radicals led by Leung Kwok-hung of the League of Social Democrats (LSD) besieged the forum violently, holding a security guard by his neck, crashing a gate, assaulting participants. Not only was the forum disrupted and participants' right to free expression deprived, several staff workers were also assaulted and injured. The chaotic scenes worried all who cared about Hong Kong's future. On the **grotesque** V masks (from the *V for Vendetta* film) worn by some mobbers, what Hong Kong people perceived was a cold, shuddering smile.

What the mob led Leung Kwok-hung with the V masked guys attacked that day was not just the venue of a forum, but also the very foundation of core values for Hong Kong's success. What they hurt was not just the hundreds of citizens and government officials participating in the forum, but all seven million Hong Kong people who still believed Hong Kong was a safe place with democracy, liberty and the rule of law where everyone could freely express himself. Although they acted in the name of freedom of speech, they could never hide the fact that they were damaging Hong Kong.

The violent incident has provoked unprecedentedly strong reactions from various sectors in Hong Kong. Education organisations issues a joint statement to condemn the violent conduct of besieging a forum and causing disorder in a public place, and express the worry that the growing tendency toward violence would corrupt social morality. Some grass-roots organization launched a "Yellow Ribbon" campaign in protest of the increasingly violence. Four civil service organisations issued a joint statement to strongly condemn the opposition for using verbal abuses and physical violence against civil servants. The majority of citizens have held it that a "zero tolerance" policy should be taken toward the violent protests that have happened again and again. They have demanded the police to take action in accordance with the law and bring law breakers to justice.

Last week, when the Legislative Council's security panel was deliberating a motion condemning the violence "at Science Museum", lawmakers from various political parties pointed out that violent politics had already spread in society, which should no longer be covered up and tolerated but instead be counterchecked with real action. Otherwise, society would have to pay a heavy price. Citizens have the right to free expression, but they could not affect public security and order while this right.

Public opinions in past days have made it clear that Hong Kong citizens have had enough with the opposition's political culture of violent antagonism. Most recently, there were V-masked mobbers besieging the venue of a consultative forum. Before this, there was People Power lawmaker Raymond Wong Yuk Man throwing a T-shirt at Commissioner of Police Andy Tsang Wai-hung. Earlier than that, there were protestors blocking traffic to paralyse the Central. Still earlier on, there were protestors seizing microphone from a government official or hitting the Chief Executive. And, prior to this, there were some lawmakers throwing cups in the Legco hall... Such lawless acts to cause damages on public order and interrupt Legco meetings have frequently taken places. The police action yesterday clearly serves a warning to the opposition radicals who deliberately want to challenge the bottom line of the general public: Hong Kong absolutely tolerates no conduct trying to undermine the rule of law.

Hong Kong's success in the past has relied on stability, the rule of law, freedom and democracy, which are also core values firmly upheld by citizens. Allowing violent conduct of political antagonism to spread in society will inevitably gradually erode the foundation of Hong Kong's success. We don't want to see Hong Kong's legislature to become another "Colosseum", let alone to see society become full of violent conflicts. Bringing law-breaking mobbers to justice is a move to make manifest justice and the rule of law and to safeguard Hong Kong's core interests. It is **bound to** be endorsed and supported by citizens

22 September 2011

WORDS AND USAGE

1) To sb's satisfaction; to the satisfaction of sb (idiom) - To make somebody pleased or satisfied. (令人滿意)

Examples: 1.He won't get paid until he completes the job to my satisfaction. 2. The amount of compensation has been arranged to the satisfaction of all

2 Bring sb to justice (idiom) - Arrest somebody for a crime and put him on trial in a court of law. (繩之以法,捉拿歸案)

Examples: 1.It is his job to bring the murderer to justice. 2. Years later, the rapist was found out and finally brought to justice.

slightly frightening way. (怪誕的,詭異的)

Examples: 1.By now she's had so much cosmetic surgery that she looks quite

4 In the name of sth; in sth's name (idiom) - Use something as a reason or an excuse for doing something - often something wrong or bad.

Examples: 1. Much blood has been spilled in the name of religion. 2. Are we willing to be worked to death in the name of competition?

(5) (Be) bound to do sth (idiom) - Certain to do something; destined to do something.(必定,一定)

Examples: 1. This sort of thing is bound to happen. 2. Tom is bound to do a

3 Grotesque (adj) - Strange and unpleasant, especially in a ridiculous or

法治香港不容[V煞|逞兇

芦控以在公衆地方擾亂秩序以及刑事毀壞罪名,案件將於下月十 四日正式開審。警方的這一行動,用大快人心來形容,一點也不爲

對於廣大市民而言,將蓄意破壞公衆秩序、暴力衝擊會場的暴 徒繩之以法,無疑是維護社會治安、彰顯香港法治的正確舉措,更 是回應市民訴求、捍衛香港核心價值的必要之舉

廿天前發生在科學館內諮詢論壇上的一幕,許多市民至今仍記 憶猶新。以社民連梁國雄爲首的大批激進反對派,爲求達到政治目 的,不惜動用暴力衝擊會場,叉頸、破門、衝撞等種種暴力行爲輪 番上場。論壇秩序不僅被打亂,與會者表達意見的權利被剝奪,多 位工作人員更被襲受傷。一幕幕混亂場面,讓所有關心香港前途的 人感到揪心。而從充斥現場的詭異「V煞」面具中,全港市民看到 的是讓人不寒而慄的笑意。

當日梁國雄等夥同「V煞」衝擊的不僅僅是一次諮詢論壇會 場,而是在衝擊香港賴以成功的核心價值基石;他們傷害的也不僅 僅是與會的數百名市民以及政府官員,而是仍舊堅信香港是一個安 全、民主、自由、法治、能自由表達意見的全體七百萬香港市民。 儘管他們是以言論自由爲名,實際上卻無法掩蓋在行傷害香港之

在暴力衝擊事件發生後,香港各界作了前所未有的強烈反應。 教育團體聯合刊登聲明,強烈譴責這種罔顧公衆秩序、破壞會場的 暴力行為,憂慮暴力歪風不斷滋長將敗壞社會風氣;民間基層團體 則發起「黃絲帶」行動,抗議這種日益嚴重的暴力抗爭行爲;四大 公務員團體共同發表聯合聲明,強烈譴責反對派任何藉言論自由之 名,以語言及肢體暴力來謾罵、威脅公務員的行為。更多的市民則 認爲,應「零容忍」這種一而再、再而三的暴力行徑,要求警方依 法採取行動,將犯事者繩之以法。

上周立法會在通過譴責動議時,多個政黨的議員便已指出,暴 力政治已蔓延社會,絕不能再包庇、縱容,應用實際行動予以回 應,否則社會必將再付上沉重的代價。市民有表達自由的權利,但



▲不少社會團體示威反對暴力政治抗爭行為

行使權利不能影響公衆安全和秩序。

連日來的民意已說明了一切,香港市民早已經受夠了反對派這 種暴力抗爭的政治文化。這次有「V煞」狙擊諮詢論壇,上次則是 「人民力量」黃毓民向警務處處長曾偉雄掟T恤,再上次是堵塞交 通癱瘓中環,再上次是搶咪撞傷特首,再上次立法會擲杯……無視 法紀、破壞社會秩序和議會秩序的行爲屢屢上演。而警方昨日的決 定,無疑是在用行動告訴那些蓄意挑戰公衆底線的激進反對派:香 港絕不容忍肆意破壞法治的行爲。

香港過去的成功,依賴的是安定、法治、自由、民主,這也是 市民堅守的核心價值。任由暴力政治抗爭行爲在社會蔓延,必然會 逐步侵蝕香港成功的基石。我們不想看到未來香港的議會成爲「鬥 獸場」,更不願見到社會充斥着暴力衝突。將違法暴徒繩之以法, 正是彰顯正義與法治、維護香港核心利益之舉,必然會受到市民的 2011/09/22 大公報社評

通識記憶體

長毛被控14次 罪成11次》》》》

早於90年代,身爲「四五行動」的成員,梁國雄(長毛)已先 後有14次被檢控紀錄。其中11次罪名成立,最早一次是1979年聲 援爲油麻地艇戶爭取上岸的人士時,被控行爲不檢罪名成立判處罰 款。

2000年5月25日,當時身任「四五行動」成員的梁國雄,因與 古思堯在1999年10月特首答問大會中高聲抗議,被判藐視立法會 罪名成立。因其在此之前亦曾觸犯同一罪名被判緩刑,連同該次判 罰,被判即時入獄14日。

2001年5月他與古思堯抗議警方「濫用警權」時,展示塗污的 區旗,在西區裁判法院分別被判罰款6000元和3000元;

2006年4月29日,梁國雄連同區議員「阿牛」曾健成、陶君行 等為抗議東隧加價,駕車堵塞東區海底隧道九龍入口,被東區法院 裁判官林偉權裁定阻街罪成,判監12日。後來獲高院判全部上訴得 直而推翻定罪

2007年6月3日,梁國雄衝擊前房屋及規劃地政局局長孫明揚 (現任教育局局長)官邸。示威期間擅自衝入屋苑,其間有人毆打 警員,3名警員遇襲受傷,經審訊後裁定非法集結罪成、襲警罪 脱,被判社會服務令60小時。梁國雄其後自爆自己被安排到天水圍

2007年12月,梁國雄又因06年12月在全國人大常委會副秘書 長喬曉陽到禮賓府演講時,在門外燒車胎抗議,涉嫌推撞警員而被

儘誤讀盡有邊讀邊壞習慣 也可能對簡化字一知半解

香港電台《十萬八千里》節目,有人將儘管 一詞誤讀盡管,一連多次,這是有邊讀邊的 壞習慣之一。

香港電台以「正音」著稱,可惜錯音頻 頻。大概有兩種情況:一種是受「正音」大 師誤導,如糾正要讀狗正,擴大要讀確大之 類;另一種就是有邊讀邊。後一種有時同 「正音 | 有關,例如蒂、締、諦,在粤語來 說,早已從不送氣發展到送氣,讀成替。但 「正音|大師拿着古音做「令箭|, 教人有 邊讀邊,讀成皇帝之帝。不知今次《十萬八 千里》的主持人(男性)一口氣把儘管讀成 盡管的有多次,是「正音」大師教誨,還是 其本人自作聰明?

儘誤讀盡,除了是有邊讀邊壞習慣,也 可能對簡化字一知半解。比方,他看到大陸 搞漢字簡化,廢除儘字,以盡代之,儘管都 寫成盡管,以爲形變音亦隨之。殊不知,簡 簡爲郁,仍讀鬱音,幹字簡爲干,仍讀幹 音,適字簡爲适,仍讀適音。儘字簡爲盡, 也是如此。諸如此類,例子舉之不盡

有個「正音」妙例,妙處是「先改其 字,後正其音, ,那是把根底改成根柢然後 「正|音。禍延不少報刊,紛紛把根底改成 根柢,甚至成語「尋根究底」也改成「尋根 究柢 | 。其實「正音 | 人士不學無術, 柢與 底雖則形似,而音義都不同。柢是古蒂字, 一改爲蔕,再改成蒂。此字原來讀帝,由不 送氣發展到送氣的結果,早已跟蒂字一樣讀 成替。讀底,無論如何是不可以的!「正 音 | 者自作聰明,以爲蒂是俗字,改成柢才 夠雅,卻不知無形中把兩字混淆了。成語的 字,不可亂改,明明是「尋根究底」,有典 可查,你亂改爲「尋根究柢」,犯了雙料錯 誤,我勸這些人:獻醜不如藏拙吧!

觸景立畫

打架原來是這樣?

在新聞偶然聽到有大群青年打鬥,但 新聞片段卻只拍攝到他們給警察扣上手扣 的時候,打鬥場面就只有靠自己想像

滬江維多利亞學校 八年級 李善恆

SOHO DIARY





Lines of Poems (1)

Hark Yeung (yeung@harkyeung.com)

A friend was leaving for the UK. There was a farewell dinner party. Some of the participants started to talk about classical Chinese poems. One of them talked about Su Shi (蘇軾). Two others started to recite (背誦) Su's ci-poems and had a discussion.

"Which line of Su Shi speaks his mind best?"

"I think it's the line: there is no need to hate (不應有恨・水調歌頭)." another said.

"It should be another line: it was neither stormy nor sunny (也無風雨也無晴・定風 波)," a third one said.

"It should be this one," the fourth one said. He started to recite, ending at the line "fragrant grass can be found everywhere (天涯何處無芳

"It is a poem written by him? I always hear

this line but I have never come across the poem in full," a participant listening to the discussion

In fact, the line could be translated in a more literal and "poetic" way: "within the edge of the sky, can you see a place that fragrant plants cannot grow?'

In Chinese, the line is made up of seven characters. The first two and the second two are compound words. They come together to form a meaning. When the line is read aloud, it is like

The images are beautiful: the sky, the edge, places and fragrant plants. The meaning is also rich: good things and good people can be found

everywhere under the heaven. In modern terms, it is positive and it carries a

sense of equality.